

# Joash and the Temple

Notes for 16 May

*Steve Logan*

Read: [2 Kings 12](#)

## Power, Reform, Money, and the Fragility of Religious Institutions

2 Kings 12 tells the story of King Joash (also called Jehoash) of Judah and his attempt to repair the Jerusalem Temple. At first glance, the chapter appears administrative and even mundane: it concerns priestly oversight, fundraising, and building maintenance.

For a theologically astute and progressive group like ours (he says, modestly...), this chapter offers fertile ground for reflection on:

- institutional religion,
- accountability,
- clerical authority,
- economic ethics,
- reform fatigue,
- and the ambiguity of "successful" leadership.

## Historical Context

Joash rules Judah during the divided monarchy period. The northern kingdom (Israel) and southern kingdom (Judah) exist separately. Joash survived a royal massacre orchestrated by Athaliah (2 Kings 11), who seized power after the death of her son. The priest Jehoiada hid the child Joash in the Temple and later engineered a coup restoring the Davidic monarchy.

Thus:

- Joash owes his throne to priestly power.
- Temple and monarchy are politically intertwined from the beginning.
- The chapter explores not merely religion, but the mutual dependency of religious and political institutions.

## Structure of the Chapter

### 1. Joash as a "Good King" (vv. 1–3)

*"Joash did what was right in the sight of the LORD all his days, because the priest Jehoiada instructed him."*

This is already a complicated endorsement. The text qualifies Joash's goodness:

- he is righteous because Jehoiada instructs him;
- his virtue appears derivative rather than internalized.

Then comes the familiar Deuteronomistic caveat:

*"Nevertheless the high places were not taken away..."*

This refrain appears repeatedly in Kings.

## Theological Significance

The historian of Kings rarely presents uncomplicated heroes. Reform is always partial. Questions emerge:

- Is moral leadership ever independent?
- Can institutional reform survive without interior transformation?
- Why do systemic religious practices persist despite official reform?

The "high places" likely represent decentralized worship sites. Historically, many were probably legitimate local shrines before later centralization theology condemned them.

A liberal reading might notice:

- the text reflects ideological centralization;
- "orthodoxy" here is politically charged;
- Temple centralization strengthens Jerusalem's authority economically and symbolically.

### 2. The Temple Repair Project (vv. 4–16)

This is the heart of the chapter. Joash orders the priests to use Temple revenues for repairs. The revenue streams include assessments, vows, and freewill offerings. But after twenty-three years, nothing has been repaired.

## Institutional Failure

The king confronts the priests: "*Why are you not repairing the house?*" This moment is strikingly modern. Themes include:

- financial opacity,
- bureaucratic inertia,
- institutional drift,
- misaligned priorities,
- failure of accountability.

The priests are not necessarily corrupt. The text never explicitly accuses them of theft. More likely the system itself is ineffective and perhaps the funds were absorbed into sustaining the institution rather than renewing it. This distinction matters.

### Modern parallels:

- churches spending enormous sums on maintenance while avoiding mission;
- denominational bureaucracy;
- nonprofit institutional exhaustion;
- reform movements stalled by administrative culture.

I recall listening to a senior figure from Newbold College talking about 'why' we do church. He made this incendiary statement: "If a church is spending more than 50% of its income keeping itself going, then it ought to shut down". Do you agree?

## 3. The Chest at the Temple Door (vv. 9–10)

Jehoiada creates a collection chest. People contribute voluntarily. The reform succeeds because:

- the process becomes transparent,
- trust is restored,
- administration is simplified.

This is one of the Bible's earliest accountability reforms.

## Theology of Transparency

An important irony: the chapter is deeply spiritual, but the "spiritual breakthrough" comes through accounting reform. The text implies:

- good intentions are insufficient;
- institutions require trustworthy systems;
- ethical administration is itself holy work.

For progressive Christians, this may resonate strongly: justice requires structures, not merely piety.

#### 4. "No Accounting Was Required" (v. 15)

*"They did not ask for an accounting from those to whom they delivered the money... for they dealt honestly."*

This verse can sound naive. But it reflects an idealized vision: trustworthiness makes excessive oversight unnecessary. Yet the narrative itself earlier demonstrated the failure of trust without accountability. This tension is fascinating.

Questions:

- How do communities balance trust and transparency?
- What forms of accountability nurture rather than suffocate communal life?
- When does oversight become suspicion?
- When does trust become negligence?

#### 5. The Omission of Sacred Objects (vv. 13–14)

The money is not used for silver basins, snuffers (whatever they are!), bowls, trumpets, or ornamental vessels. Instead, it goes first to structural repair. This may reflect a subtle theological critique: preserving the institution's foundation matters more than religious ornamentation. A contemporary reading could connect this to:

- spectacle versus substance,
- aesthetics versus justice,
- institutional image versus real health.

#### 6. Hazael and Political Compromise (vv. 17–18)

Suddenly the chapter turns geopolitical. Hazael of Aram threatens Jerusalem. Joash responds by giving Temple treasures to Hazael. The king who repaired the Temple now strips it to buy survival.

#### Theological Ambiguity

The text refuses triumphalism. Even faithful reform cannot prevent political vulnerability.

Questions:

- Are institutions ultimately preserved by faithfulness or by realpolitik?
- What compromises are justified for survival?
- What happens when sacred resources become political currency?

## 7. Joash's Death (vv. 19–21)

Joash is assassinated by his servants. The reformer ends violently. Again, Kings avoids simplistic moral closure. The pattern throughout Kings:

- reform is temporary,
- institutions decay again,
- political violence persists,
- no king fully resolves covenant failure.

This prepares the theological ground for exile.

## Major Themes for Discussion

### A. The Fragility of Reform

Joash begins well but ends ambiguously. The text challenges the fantasy of permanent reform.

- Why do reform movements lose momentum?
- What sustains institutional transformation over generations?
- Can systems change without spiritual change?

### B. Institutions Are Necessary — and Dangerous

The Temple matters deeply in this chapter. Yet:

- it absorbs resources,
- resists accountability,
- becomes politically instrumentalized.

The text neither rejects nor idealizes institutions. An attitude that remains profoundly relevant. Possible modern parallels:

- denominations,
- seminaries,
- nonprofits,
- activist organizations,
- governments.

### C. Money as a Spiritual Issue

This chapter is obsessed with finances. Economically transparent structures become a theological concern. The Bible repeatedly treats economics as spirituality embodied.

- How does money shape religious life?
- What does ethical stewardship mean?
- How do communities maintain trust?

## D. Clergy and Accountability

Jehoiada is heroic earlier in Kings, yet the priesthood here appears ineffective. The chapter offers neither anti-clericalism nor clerical idealization. It assumes religious leaders require accountability too. A rich conversation may emerge around:

- power,
- transparency,
- institutional trust,
- abuse prevention,
- governance.

## E. Dependency on Charismatic Leaders

Joash's goodness depends heavily on Jehoiada. Once the mentor disappears (later in Chronicles especially), Joash deteriorates.

- What happens when communities rely too heavily on charismatic figures?
- Can institutions cultivate mature collective wisdom?
- How do we avoid leader-centered spirituality?

## Connections with Other Biblical Texts

### **2 Chronicles 24**

Chronicles retells this story differently and more dramatically. Notably:

- after Jehoiada's death, Joash abandons reform,
- Zechariah son of Jehoiada is murdered,
- divine judgment becomes explicit.

Comparing Kings and Chronicles is illuminating: Kings is more restrained politically; Chronicles more theological/moralistic.

### **Mark 12:41–44 — The Widow's Offering**

Both texts feature Temple donation systems. But Mark introduces critique:

- who benefits from religious giving?
- does the Temple consume the vulnerable?

This creates fruitful tension with 2 Kings 12.

### **Jesus and the Temple**

Jesus simultaneously: reveres the Temple, critiques Temple systems, predicts Temple destruction. 2 Kings 12 helps illuminate why: the Temple is both sacred and compromised.

## Contemporary Application

This chapter speaks powerfully to communities wrestling with:

- declining institutions,
- church finances,
- leadership accountability,
- maintenance versus mission,
- reform fatigue,
- institutional trust.

It refuses simplistic binaries:

- institutions are neither purely holy nor purely corrupt;
- reform matters, but it remains fragile;
- systems require both integrity and structure.

For liberal Christians especially, the chapter may invite reflection on:

- how communities sustain ethical life beyond charismatic leadership;
- how progressive institutions avoid reproducing the failures they critique;
- what faithful stewardship looks like amid institutional decline.

## Suggested Discussion Questions

1. Why does the text qualify Joash's goodness so carefully?
2. What modern institutional failures resemble the Temple repair problem?
3. Is transparency fundamentally a spiritual practice?
4. Why do reform movements often fail to become permanent?
5. How should communities balance trust and accountability?
6. What does the chapter suggest about the relationship between religion and political power?
7. Are religious institutions worth preserving? Under what conditions?
8. What compromises are acceptable for institutional survival?
9. How does this chapter complicate simplistic notions of "good leadership"?

10. Where do you see hope in this text?

## Concluding Reflection

2 Kings 12 is not merely about repairing a building. It is about the difficulty of repairing a community. The chapter portrays reform as:

- necessary,
- incomplete,
- vulnerable,
- and deeply human.

Rather than offering idealized heroes or perfect institutions, it presents a world where:

- faithfulness requires *systems* as well as sincerity,
- transparency is a sacred work.

So, let us conclude with this thought: how best to live ethically within imperfect institutions?